

On the singular series in the prime k -tuple conjecture

János Pintz*

1. Gallagher's result [Gal] about the mean-value of the singular series

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\nu_p}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-k}$$

over all k -element sets

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{H} = \{h_i\}_{i=1}^k, \quad h_i \text{ different, } h_i \in [1, H]$$

(where $\nu_p = \nu_p(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the number of residue classes occupied by $\mathcal{H} \bmod p$) played a crucial role in the proof of

$$(1.3) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{n+1} - p_n}{\log p_n} = 0 \quad [\text{GPY}],$$

where p_n denotes the n^{th} prime.

However, a brief study of the works [GPY] or [GMPY] shows that actually we need a far weaker result: to show the existence of at least one admissible set $|\mathcal{H}| = k_\nu$ (i.e. $\nu_p < p$ for any prime p) for a series of $k_\nu \rightarrow \infty$ and a $C > 0$ absolute constant with the property

$$(1.4) \quad S_{\mathcal{H}}(H) := \frac{1}{H} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H} \cup \{h\})}{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})} \geq C \quad \text{for } H > C_0(|\mathcal{H}|).$$

In [Pin] we showed in a very simple way that (1.4) holds for a particular $\mathcal{H}_k$ for any k , even for all individual even h (for odd h we had $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H} \cup \{h\}) = 0$). In the present note we prove beyond (1.4) that for any $\mathcal{H} \subset [1, H]$ we have $S_{\mathcal{H}}(H) \rightarrow 1$ if $H \rightarrow \infty$, and investigate the rate of convergence depending on k . By induction on k , this implies Gallagher's result [Gal]

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{|\mathcal{H}|=k, \mathcal{H} \subset [1, H]} \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}) \sim H^k \quad \text{for } H \rightarrow \infty,$$

*Supported by OTKA Grants K72731, K67676 and ERC-AdG.228005.

however, $S_{\mathcal{H}}(H) \rightarrow 1$ yields more information about the singular series than the global average (1.5).

Theorem 1. *With the notation (1.1), (1.2), (1.4) we have for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$*

$$(1.6) \quad S_{\mathcal{H}}(H) = 1 + O(\varepsilon) \quad \text{if } H \geq \exp(k^{1/\varepsilon}).$$

Remark. The following relations can be proved in an even more simple way for every $\mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 1'. *With the notation (1.1)–(1.2) we have*

$$(1.7) \quad S_{\mathcal{H}}(H) \geq 1 + O(\varepsilon) \quad \text{if } H \geq \exp\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{k}{\log k}\right)$$

and with some absolute constants c_1, c_2

$$(1.8) \quad S_{\mathcal{H}}(H) \geq c_1 \quad \text{if } H \geq \exp\left(c_2 \frac{k}{\log k}\right).$$

Remark. As mentioned in the introduction, for (1.3), but actually in most other applications for problems involving small gaps between primes and almost primes we need just lower estimates for the singular series. This fact gives an additional significance for simple proofs of lower estimates for expressions involving the singular series.

2. Let us study first the ratio $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}')/\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$ for a single $\mathcal{H}' := \mathcal{H} \cup \{h\}$, $h \notin \mathcal{H}$, with the notation

$$(2.1) \quad \nu'_p := \nu_p(\mathcal{H}'), \quad y := \frac{5 \log H}{6}, \quad P := \prod_{p \leq y} p, \quad \Delta := \prod_{i=1}^k (h - h_i).$$

Then we have

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}')}{\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})} = \prod_p \frac{1 - \frac{\nu'_p}{p}}{\left(1 - \frac{\nu_p}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} := \prod_{p \leq y} \cdot \prod_{\substack{p > y \\ p | \Delta}} \cdot \prod_{\substack{p > y \\ p \nmid \Delta}}.$$

For $p \nmid \Delta$ we have $\nu'_p = \nu_p + 1$, otherwise $\nu'_p = \nu_p$, hence

$$(2.3) \quad \prod_3 = \prod_{p > y} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{k}{p^2}\right)\right) = 1 + O\left(\frac{k}{y \log y}\right),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \Pi_2 = \prod_{p>y, p|\Delta} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^{-1} = \exp \left(O \left(\sum_{p>y, p|\Delta} \frac{1}{p} \right) \right).$$

Since by the Prime Number Theorem

$$\sum_{p|\Delta} \log p \leq \log \Delta \leq 2ky,$$

the sum over $1/p$ in the error term is maximal if the relevant primes with $p \mid \Delta$ are as small as possible satisfying the inequality $\sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}^*} \log p \leq 2ky$, consequently

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{p|\Delta, p>y} \frac{1}{p} \leq \sum_{y<p<4ky} \frac{1}{p} \leq \log \frac{\log(5ky)}{\log y} \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

(2.3)–(2.5) imply that we have for any individual h

$$(2.6) \quad \Pi_2 \Pi_3(h) = 1 + O(\varepsilon).$$

We will now study the average of $\Pi_1(h)$ for $h \leq H = MP + r$, $0 \leq r < P$, $M \rightarrow \infty$. Due to the periodicity of $\Pi_1(h)$ (with period P) it is sufficient to average over $h \in [1, P]$. For any $p \leq y$ we have exactly ν_p possibilities mod p for h with $\nu'_p = \nu_p$ and $p - \nu_p$ with $\nu'_p = \nu_p + 1$. Consequently,

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{P} \sum_{h=1}^P \Pi_1(h) = \prod_{p|P} \frac{\left\{ \frac{\nu_p}{p} \left(1 - \frac{\nu_p}{p}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{\nu_p}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\nu_p+1}{p}\right) \right\}}{\left(1 - \frac{\nu_p}{p}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)} = 1.$$

Formulas (2.2) and (2.6)–(2.7) prove (1.6).

To prove Theorem 1' we can replace (2.4)–(2.5) with the trivial relation $\Pi_2 \geq 1$, thereby obtaining

$$(2.8) \quad \Pi_2 \Pi_3(h) \geq \begin{cases} 1 + O(\varepsilon) & \text{if } \frac{k}{\log H \log_2 H} = O(\varepsilon), \\ c_1 & \text{if } \frac{k}{\log H \log_2 H} \leq c_3. \end{cases}$$

This, together with (2.7) proves Theorem 1'.

References

- [Gal] P. X. GALLAGHER,, On the distribution of primes in short intervals,
Mathematika **23** (1976), 4–9.
- [GPY] D. A. GOLDSTON, J. PINTZ, C. YILDIRIM, Primes in tuples I,
Annals of Math. (2) **170** (2009), 819–862.
- [GMPY] D. A. GOLDSTON, Y. MOTOHASHI, J. PINTZ, C. Y. YILDIRIM,
Small gaps between primes exist, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A. Math. Sci.*
82 (2006), 61–65.
- [Pin] J. PINTZ, A note on small gaps between primes, preprint.

János PINTZ
Rényi Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences
Budapest
Reáltanoda u. 13–15
H-1053 Hungary
E-mail: pintz@renyi.hu